

Paradise Lake Improvement Board meeting minutes 11/9/11

1. Meeting called to order, all present.
2. Motion to approve prior minutes by Arden, seconded by Elaine – Motion Approved
3. Public Comment
 - a. John Beeson – John knows someone who would like to donate a compressor or \$ equivalent. This would be a donation to the PLIB.
4. Appearances
 - a. Jennifer Jones – Lakeshore Environmental – a presentation was given regarding the proposal for services by Lake Savers using Laminar Flow.
 - b. John Tucci – discussed what they found when they were on the lake 2 weeks ago. Depth in muck levels in the West Basin were discussed, the aeration system was highlighted and how compressor, diffusers and lines all operated.
 - c. Question (Jim) – What will be the effect if we accept the proposal before the public hearing? Answer (Jennifer) - We only need permission from the Board to get permits in place at this point. This must be done by the end of the month. Jim asked Jennifer to let the audience know how laminar flow worked on Indian Lake. Aeration has been used here for 2 years.
 - d. Question (audience member) – What if someone’s anchor gets caught up in the lines? Answer (John T.) – It’s possible to simply pick it off and drop it back down. If the line were damaged, we would fix it.
 - e. Finally, lease options and purchase options were shown and hook ups along with power were discussed. Additionally, a brief discussion on some potential SAD scenarios were talked about. It was decided that we should have more than 1 option for the Public Hearing.
 - f. Question (Jim) – Is the up front cost spread out for more than 1 year? Answer (Jennifer) – Not on purchase.
 - g. Motion by Arden to let Lake Savers apply for a permit – Roll Call vote unanimous, motion passed.
 - h. Comment (Jim) – We need to have a Hearing on the scope of the project, then we can find a way to fund it. The Board discussed options for a date on the Hearing.
 - i. Question (Marilyn Roll) – Does everyone involved get a notice of feasibility? Answer (Jennifer) – Yes.
 - j. Question (Elaine) – How do we deal with objections? Answer (Jim) – It will be up to the discretion of the Board as to what direction we will go in ultimately. Public input will aid our decision.

5. Old Business

- a. Jim – We have people still looking into grants for us. Comment (Elaine) – Maybe we could get a grant from an environmental company because of the unique way we are choosing to treat the lake? I found some possibilities I'll bring to the next meeting. Comment from Arden to Marilyn Smith – Would you check with Tip of the Mitt to see if they have any grant money we can apply for? Answer (Marilyn) – Yes. Comment from Jim Kilpatrick – Being a trucker by trade, I'm willing to look into getting the aeration equipment here for less.
- b. Cathy – We will set up a meeting in the Spring with a company regarding aerial lake surveys.
- c. Jennifer Jones – The Board should look into publicity on this project. We need to promote this. Comment (audience member) – We do need to promote this. People want to know what we are doing and we need some positive word out there.

6. New Business – none

7. Financial Report – All bills paid to date (final payment to Progressive, first payment to LSE)

8. Public Comment

- a. Audience member – Do we get new compressors in a lease? Answer (John T.) – Yes
- b. Audience member – Can the public hearing be broadcast somehow? Answer (Jim) – It is possible.
- c. Audience member – Is the assessment by the parcel or by the foot? Answer (Jim) – By the parcel
- d. Audience member – How close to the shore will the diffusers be? Answer (John T.) – Where the muck starts. It needs to be 1-2 feet deep.
- e. John Kilpatrick – Will it help with swimmers itch? Answer (John T.) – Yes, although as far as I know, that is not a big problem on this lake.
- f. Bob Smith – I heard you have a test area where you used bio augmentation without aeration. How did that work? Answer (Jennifer) – Not well.
- g. Sam Cadwell – This board should look at the whole twp in order to fund this project. I feel a lot of people would be willing to help; after all, the lake belongs to the whole community.
- h. Dave K. – You did a good thing to purchase the permit for next summer
- i. Marilyn Roll – If we need start up money, maybe we could get a lone from the twp.
- j. Marilyn Smith – I want to thank the board for the direction you went in. I am glad you are considering a biological solution.

9. There was a discussion regarding communication between LSE, the Board, the PLA and the FoPL. Chairman said all communication from LSE should go to the Board only and then we will fill the public in.
10. Meeting adjourned.